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Preface: About This Document

- This document is part of the technical assistance package provided by the OJP Diagnostic Center in response to a request for assistance from the City of Durham.

- Through services provided across OJP’s many programs, the Diagnostic Center aims to fulfill a nationwide call from the criminal justice community to improve access to information on what works in preventing and controlling crime as well as provide guidance on how to implement data-driven programming. Diagnostic Center services are customized for each community’s crime problem.

- The purpose of this document is to:
  - Identify and analyze the contributing factors to the issues identified in the request from Durham.
  - Recommend evidence- or practice-based solutions and promising practices that address the contributing factors.
  - Inform the development of a response strategy, in close coordination with the requesting community leaders, for implementing the recommended evidence-based solutions.

Note: Information contained in this Diagnostic Analysis is based on information collected prior to July 2014.
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The City of Durham requested assistance in addressing violent crime, gun violence and community-police relations

Durham’s goal is to develop strategies that are data-driven and effective in reducing violent crime and gun violence and improving community-police relations.

**Priority Area 1:** Assess the scope of violent crime, specifically gun violence
- Analyze violent crime data with emphasis on gun homicides and assaults
- Review existing methodology used for determining violent crime hot spots
- Assess existing police responses to violence in relation to place-based and offender-based strategies

**Priority Area 2:** Assess community-police relations
- Conduct onsite interviews with criminal justice and community stakeholders
- Identify strengths, gaps, challenges and recommendations for improvements

**Priority Area 3:** Expand capacity for data-driven decision-making
- Review processes and practices of the Violent Crime Reduction Roundtable (VCRR), a forum for local gun violence reduction efforts
- Develop recommendations for addressing violent crime, gun violence and community-police relations
- Assist Durham with implementing recommendations and assessing engagement outcomes
The City’s request was instigated by recent increases in homicides and aggravated assaults, even as overall crime decreased.

- While violent crime in Durham decreased in the last decade, homicides increased substantially in the last three years.
- The City established a Violent Crime Reduction Roundtable in 2012 that brings together city and county officials to enhance current efforts to reduce gun violence in Durham.
- The City seeks technical assistance to:
  - Assess the extent and scope of violent and gun-related crimes to develop data-driven response strategies.
  - Build capacity for criminal justice stakeholders to leverage data to inform strategies.
  - Enhance community-police relations by improving public trust.
Durham and the Diagnostic Center completed the Diagnose phase and identified recommendations for change

To complete the Diagnose phase, the Diagnostic Center:
- Collected and analyzed violent crime and gun-related crime data to identify patterns and trends
- Conducted onsite interviews of criminal justice and community stakeholders
- Identified training and technical assistance to strengthen strategies for reducing violent crime and gun violence and improving community-police relations

### Activities

1. **Understand the Criminal Justice Problem**
   - 1.1 Identify the need for an intervention
   - 1.2 Generate initial hypotheses on criminogenic contributing factors
   - 1.3 Identify and interview stakeholders
   - 1.4 Collect and synthesize data to identify baseline indicators
   - 1.5 Refine hypotheses and prioritize criminogenic contributing factors

2. **Find What Works in the Community**
   - 2.1 Understand what makes a program practice- or evidence-based
   - 2.2 Identify practice- or evidence-based program options
   - 2.3 Evaluate and select the "best fit" practice- or evidence-based model
   - 2.4 Assess community and organizational readiness

3. **Do What Works in the Community**
   - 3.1 Determine necessary program adaptations (if needed)
   - 3.2 Develop program implementation and sustainability plans
   - 3.3 Build or engage community coalitions
   - 3.4 Begin implementation and training activities

### Next Steps

1. **Assess How Well It Worked**
   - 4.1 Develop an evaluation strategy and tools
   - 4.2 Implement evaluation strategy and collect data
   - 4.3 Conduct periodic reviews of evaluation results and program fidelity
   - 4.4 Share success stories and lessons learned
During the Diagnose phase, the Diagnostic Center collected structured data and conducted 50 interviews with stakeholders.

The Diagnostic Center conducted interviews and analyzed data to:

- Develop a baseline understanding of Durham’s violent crime and gun violence trends
- Identify community perspectives and insights on Durham’s responses to violence, gun violence and community-police relations
- Identify Durham’s strengths, challenges and areas for improvement

### Stakeholder Interviews

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Law Enforcement</th>
<th>City/County Government</th>
<th>Courts</th>
<th>Non-Profit/Faith-Based Providers</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Durham Police Department</td>
<td>Durham County Office of the Sheriff</td>
<td>Durham County District Court</td>
<td>Christian Assembly Church</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Durham District Attorney’s Office</td>
<td>City of Durham</td>
<td>Durham County District Court</td>
<td>Big Brothers Big Sisters of the Triangle</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Durham County</td>
<td></td>
<td>John Avery Boys and Girls Club</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>North Carolina Department of Public Safety</td>
<td></td>
<td>Many Other Organizations</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Totals:**
- Law Enforcement: 12
- City/County Government: 15
- Courts: 10
- Non-Profit/Faith-Based Providers: 13

Per the preface disclaimer, points of view or opinions in this document do not necessarily represent the official position or policies of the U.S. Department of Justice.
Table Of Contents

- Overview
- Key Findings
  - Analysis of Community Data
  - Analysis of Violent Crime Data
  - Crime Mapping
  - Analysis of Stakeholder Interviews
- Recommendations and Model Practices
- Training and Technical Assistance Plan
Table Of Contents

- Overview
- Key Findings
  - Analysis of Community Data
  - Analysis of Violent Crime Data
  - Crime Mapping
  - Analysis of Stakeholder Interviews
- Recommendations and Model Practices
- Training and Technical Assistance Plan
The Diagnostic Center reviewed census data to gain insight into Durham’s demographic characteristics.

**Demographic Overview**
- Durham is the fourth largest city in North Carolina.
- Durham has a significantly higher proportion of black residents and a lower proportion of white residents than both the United States and North Carolina.
- Durham has a higher proportion of Hispanic or Latino residents than North Carolina but a lower proportion than the United States.

**Population by Race, 2013 Estimates**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Race</th>
<th>United States</th>
<th>North Carolina</th>
<th>City of Durham</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>White</td>
<td>73.7%</td>
<td>69.2%</td>
<td>68.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black</td>
<td>17.1%</td>
<td>8.8%</td>
<td>14.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asian</td>
<td>12.6%</td>
<td>48.3%</td>
<td>40.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Single Race</td>
<td>5.1%</td>
<td>2.4%</td>
<td>4.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multiracial</td>
<td>5.6%</td>
<td>5.6%</td>
<td>3.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Population by Ethnicity, 2013 Estimates**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ethnicity</th>
<th>United States</th>
<th>North Carolina</th>
<th>City of Durham</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hispanic or Latino</td>
<td>17.1%</td>
<td>8.8%</td>
<td>14.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not Hispanic or Latino</td>
<td>82.9%</td>
<td>91.2%</td>
<td>85.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: American Community Survey

Per the preface disclaimer, points of view or opinions in this document do not necessarily represent the official position or policies of the U.S. Department of Justice.
Census data also yielded insight into the socioeconomic characteristics of Durham residents.

Socioeconomic Overview
- Durham residents are far more likely than residents of North Carolina and the United States to have earned a college degree.
- Durham has both a higher poverty rate and a higher proportion of families making more than $100,000 per year than the United States as a whole.

Educational Attainment, 2009-2013 Estimates
- Durham residents are more likely to have earned a college degree compared to residents of North Carolina and the United States.

Educational Attainment
- Bachelor's degree or higher: Durham - 47.2%, North Carolina - 29.2%, United States - 27.6%.
- Some college, associate's degree: Durham - 16.0%, North Carolina - 30.7%, United States - 23.7%.
- High school or equivalent: Durham - 26.9%, North Carolina - 29.2%, United States - 27.9%.

Source: American Community Survey

Poverty Rate for Families, 2013 Estimates
- United States: 11.60%
- North Carolina: 13.10%
- City of Durham: 12.40%

Families by Income Level, 2013 Estimates
- $200,000+: Durham - 4.80%, North Carolina - 6.50%, United States - 8.60%.
- $150,000-$199,999: Durham - 4.80%, North Carolina - 6.30%, United States - 8.20%.
- $100,000-$149,999: Durham - 13.00%, North Carolina - 13.40%, United States - 13.90%.
- $75,000-$99,999: Durham - 13.10%, North Carolina - 12.40%, United States - 12.00%.
- $50,000-$74,999: Durham - 15.80%, North Carolina - 14.70%, United States - 14.00%.
- $25,000-$34,999: Durham - 15.80%, North Carolina - 12.90%, United States - 13.10%.
- $10,000-$14,999: Durham - 13.00%, North Carolina - 8.20%, United States - 8.60%.
- $0-$9,999: Durham - 4.90%, North Carolina - 4.10%, United States - 3.70%.

Poverty Rate
- United States: 11.60%
- North Carolina: 13.10%
- City of Durham: 12.40%

Median Family Income:
- Durham, NC: $66,448
- US: $64,719

*2013 Median Family Income: Durham, NC – $66,448
US – $64,719

Source: American Community Survey
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The Diagnostic Center analyzed local crime incident data and found that most forms of crime have declined in recent years.

**Part I Crime Rate*, 2000-2013**

- Violent Crime
- Property Crime

**Violent Crimes and Illegal Weapons Arrests, 2009-2013**

- Murder
- Forcible Rape
- Robbery
- Aggravated Assault
- Illegal Weapons Arrests

**Overall Crime Trends**
- From 2000-2013, both violent and property crime rates dropped.
- Durham experienced 30.2% fewer violent crimes and 40.6% fewer property crimes per 100,000 residents in 2013 than in 2000.
- From 2009-2013, robbery and illegal weapons arrests trended downward.
- During the same period, aggravated assault, homicide and forcible rape rates increased.

Note: Calculations are based on data from the Durham Police Department, Federal Bureau of Investigation and U.S. Census Bureau.

*Rate reflects number of reported incidents per 100,000 population.
The analysis also revealed that Durham has violent crime levels similar to those of other large cities in North Carolina.

**Violent Crime Comparison**
- Like the other three comparison cities, Durham's violent crime rate trended downward from 2004-2013.
- Durham had the highest violent crime rate among the comparison cities in 2013, even though it had the lowest in 2004.
  - This is due to progress in the other cities, not to higher violent crime in Durham.
- From 2004-2013, Durham's average violent crime rate was considerably lower than Charlotte's but higher than the other cities.

**Average Violent Crime Rate*, 2004-2013**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>City</th>
<th>Average Violent Crime Rate*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Charlotte</td>
<td>831.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Durham</td>
<td>760.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fayetteville</td>
<td>710.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Winston-Salem</td>
<td>742.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Violent Crime Rate*, 2004-2013**

- *Rates reflect number of reported incidents per 100,000 population
- **Data from 2007 are not available for Durham and Winston-Salem

Note: Calculations are based on data from the Durham Police Department, Federal Bureau of Investigation and U.S. Census Bureau.
Despite these positive signs, Durham experienced an increase in rates of gun-related homicides and aggravated assaults.

### Homicide and Aggravated Assault Trends
- Overall rates of both homicide and aggravated assault trended upward in Durham from 2009-2013, but rates of homicide and aggravated assault not involving guns trended downward.
- More homicides than aggravated assaults involved guns.

#### Homicide Rate*, 2009-2013

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>With Gun</th>
<th>Without Gun</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Aggravated Assault Rate*, 2009-2013

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>With Gun</th>
<th>Without Gun</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Rates reflect number of reported incidents per 100,000 population.

Note: Calculations are based on data from the Durham Police Department, Federal Bureau of Investigation and U.S. Census Bureau.
At the same time, convictions in gun-related cases fell by 45 percent and the corresponding conviction rate fell by 22 percent.

**Convictions in Gun-Related Cases**
- From 2009-2013, while rates of gun homicide and gun aggravated assault increased, both the number and rate of convictions in gun-related cases decreased.

---

**Convictions in Gun-Related Cases, 2009-2013**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Number of Convictions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>160</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>160</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>140</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td>120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Conviction Rate in Gun-Related Cases, 2009-2013**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Conviction Rate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>60%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>50%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>50%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td>40%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>30%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Calculations are based on data from the Durham Police Department.
Victims of homicides and aggravated assaults were disproportionately likely to be black males age 15-34

Victims of Homicide and Assault
- From 2009-2012, the average homicide rate* was 41.6 for young black males and 38 for young Hispanic males but only 7.2 for all Durham residents
- From 2009-2012, the average aggravated assault rate for young black males was 6.4 times higher than the rate for all Durham residents

**Note:** Calculations are based on data from the Durham Police Department, Federal Bureau of Investigation and U.S. Census Bureau.

*Rates reflect number of reported incidents per 100,000 population

---

**Victim Race, Homicide with Gun, 2009-2013**

- **Black:** 73%
- **Hispanic:** 3%
- **White:** 24%

**Victim Race, Aggravated Assault with Gun, 2009-2013**

- **Black:** 83%
- **Hispanic:** 8%
- **White:** 9%

**Average Homicide Rate*, 2009-2012**

- **Black Males 15-34:**
- **Hispanic Males 15-34:**
- **White Males 15-34:**
- **Black Males:**
- **Hispanic Males:**
- **White Males:**
- **Durham City:**
- **North Carolina:**
- **United States:**

**Average Aggravated Assault Rate*, 2009-2012**

- **Black Males 15-34:**
- **Hispanic Males 15-34:**
- **White Males 15-34:**
- **Black Males:**
- **Hispanic Males:**
- **White Males:**
- **Durham City:**

Per the preface disclaimer, points of view or opinions in this document do not necessarily represent the official position or policies of the U.S. Department of Justice.
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Gun-related homicides and aggravated assaults tend to occur in the same locations.

Legend
- Crime Incident
- District 1
- District 2
- District 3
- District 4
- District 5
- Durham County Sheriff’s Office

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>District</th>
<th>Homicides</th>
<th>Assaults</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>856</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>579</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>626</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>85</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Gun-related homicides and aggravated assaults also tend to occur in the same areas with high poverty rates, low educational attainment and communities of color.

Note: Maps show the City of Durham subdivided into census tracts. This slide uses census tracts instead of police districts because socioeconomic data were not available at the police district level. The shapes of some census tracts are truncated because they do not align perfectly with city borders; however, this truncation does not affect coloration. Data Source: American Community Survey 5-Year Estimates.
Misdemeanor marijuana arrests also occur in areas with a high percentage of black residents

Marijuana Arrests and Race
- National data indicate little difference in rates of illicit drug use among whites (9.5 percent) and blacks (10.5 percent)
- Despite this fact, the areas of Durham with the highest density of misdemeanor marijuana arrests also tended to have a high percentage of black residents


Note: Maps show the City of Durham subdivided into census tracts. This slide uses census tracts instead of police districts because socioeconomic data were not available at the police district level. The shapes of some census tracts are truncated because they do not align perfectly with city borders; however, this truncation does not affect coloration. Data Source: American Community Survey 5-Year Estimates

Per the preface disclaimer, points of view or opinions in this document do not necessarily represent the official position or policies of the U.S. Department of Justice.
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In interviews with the Diagnostic Center, stakeholders described several programs the City has implemented to reduce violent crime.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Current/Past Strategies to Address Violence/Gun Violence</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Operation Bull’s Eye</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Police/community partnership designed to decrease the number of violent gun crimes in targeted area and improve the quality of life in the community</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Focuses on a two-square-mile area in North-East Central Durham that encompasses the East Durham Children’s Initiative area and much of District 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Violent Incident Response Team (VIRT)</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Responds to all violent incidents in Durham</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Uses crime analysis data and intelligence information to identify problems and develop immediate solutions to stop the violence</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strategies to Abate and Reduce Senseless Violence (S.T.A.R.S.)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>- Discontinued program designed to reduce gun violence and violent crime</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Component of the Project Safe Neighborhoods (PSN) initiative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Involved identifying repeat violent offenders and organizing face-to-face intervention meetings, also known as offender call-ins, with law enforcement officials and community representatives</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Found to reduce violent, drug and gun-related offenses among participants in a 2009 evaluation conducted by the Center for Youth, Family, and Community Partnerships at the University of North Carolina at Greensboro</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- The DPD currently organizes two offender call-ins per year</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Stakeholders also described strengths in Durham’s responses to violent crime and community-police relations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Durham Police Department</th>
<th>City of Durham</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>DPD offers key training programs to its officers</strong></td>
<td><strong>Crime has declined significantly since 2000</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>▸ All academy graduates complete a Problem-Oriented Policing (POP) project</td>
<td>▸ Durham’s violent crime rate decreased 30% and its property crime rate decreased 41% from 2000 to 2013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>▸ All officers receive Fair and Impartial Policing training</td>
<td>▸ In a comparison to four North Carolina cities, Durham’s violent crime trends are lower or similar to its peers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>DPD developed a Mental Health Outreach Program and Crisis Intervention Team</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>▸ DPD partners with mental health providers for responding to calls for service with a mental health or substance abuse component, and provides crisis intervention training for new recruits and in-service training</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>DPD has developed a high-quality Crime Analysis Unit</strong></td>
<td><strong>Durham has a wide range of social services and community programs to address the needs of residents</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>▸ Excellent data analysis capabilities to support crime analysis and decision-making in the department</td>
<td>▸ Examples include the East Durham Children’s Initiative, Project BUILD, the Criminal Justice Resource Center and El Centro Hispano</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>DPD has developed strong Community Outreach initiatives</strong></td>
<td><strong>Durham has a large and engaged faith-based community</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>▸ Durham was named a Top 10 city for National Night Out, an annual event promoting crime prevention, police-community partnerships and community camaraderie</td>
<td>▸ Examples include the Religious Coalition for a Nonviolent Durham and Durham CAN (Congregations, Associations and Neighborhoods)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>▸ DPD participates in Police READS (Reading Enrichment Activities in Durham Schools), where officers read to students in schools</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>▸ DPD provides funding for a worker for Project Build</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Despite these positive signs, stakeholders identified several key challenges Durham faces

### Key Challenges

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Community Barriers</th>
<th>Gaps in Responses to Violent Crime</th>
<th>Limitations of the VCRR</th>
<th>Perceived Poor Image of DPD in Media</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| - Lack of communication and coordination between city leaders/police and service providers on the street  
- Lack of community resources and opportunities in high-poverty areas  
- Lack of coordination and collaboration among organizations and agencies  
- Significant income and educational disparities | - Need for a coordinated response bringing together all sectors in the community  
- Lack of proactive, long-term strategies to address gun violence  
- Failure to replace S.T.A.R.S. with an effective program  
  - Periodic offender call-ins not seen as successful and particularly lacking in community involvement  
- Concern over the increasing organization of gangs and their role in gun violence | - Limited membership (mostly representatives of law enforcement and criminal justice agencies)  
- Lack of transparency; few community members are aware of the group or its purpose  
- No mission or clear purpose | - DPD personnel and others in the community perceive DPD is presented in a negative light by local media  
- Specific perceptions include the following:  
  - DPD consistently gets negative press, including an inordinate amount of attention to a small number of events  
  - Local media do not cover DPD’s positive activities  
  - Local media do not cover stories about declining crime and violence  
- DPD is hiring a public information officer (PIO) to improve public/media relations |
Despite these positive signs, stakeholders identified several key challenges Durham faces

**Differing Perceptions between Police and Community**

### Police
- Citizens, particularly from minority communities, do not understand the job of the DPD
- Minority communities fail to understand why there is heavier enforcement in higher crime neighborhoods
- DPD’s relationship with the minority community is improving

### Community
- Police do not leave their cars and engage with the community enough
- Police scrutinize young black men more than others for marijuana possession and often try to “bait” them into confrontations
- DPD’s priorities result in disparate impacts on communities of color
- DPD’s racial/ethnic composition does not match the community’s
  - This mismatch may not be as skewed as perceived—see chart at right

**Populations by Race and Ethnicity**

- **City of Durham**
- **Durham Police Department**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Race and Ethnicity</th>
<th>Non-Hispanic White</th>
<th>Non-Hispanic Black</th>
<th>Other</th>
<th>Hispanic (Any Race)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Percentage of Population</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>70%</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Racial and Ethnic Diversity**
- DPD is whiter than Durham as a whole, but it has a meaningful level of diversity within its ranks
- Less than 2/3 of DPD personnel are non-Hispanic whites
- About 29% of DPD personnel are black
- Only a little more than half of DPD personnel above the rank of Lieutenant are non-Hispanic white
- DPD recruits are slightly less diverse than DPD as a whole

Source: [American Community Survey](https://www.census.gov/programs-surveys/acs.html) and Durham Police Department (2015)
Based on the data and interviews, the Diagnostic Center identified factors that contribute to Durham’s public safety challenges.

**Primary Factors**
- **COMMUNITY RESPONSES TO VIOLENCE**
  - Limited role and membership of VCRR, lack of transparency
- **COMMUNITY BARRIERS**
  - Poverty, limited education and other social challenges geographically concentrated
- **LACK OF CONFIDENCE IN LAW ENFORCEMENT**
  - Poor image of the police in the minority community

**Inhibiting Factors**
- **Demise of S.T.A.R.S. resulted in a gap; need for proactive, long-term strategies addressing gun violence**
- **Black males disproportionately involved as victims of homicide and aggravated assaults with a gun**
- **Gaps in community engagement, particularly in high-crime neighborhoods**
- **Gun offender call-ins held infrequently; not seen as successful**
- **Homicides in Durham increased 52% from 2009-2013, mainly due to increases in gun homicides**
- **Divide in perceptions of police and community on key issues**
- **Need to re-examine the role of gangs in gun violence**
- **Widespread availability of illegal firearms in the community**
- **Community perception of racially biased policing**

**Goal:** Develop effective, data-driven solutions that reduce violent crime and gun violence and improve community-police relations.
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The Diagnostic Center identified model practices to address the contributing factors revealed in the Diagnose phase.
The Diagnostic Center identified several model programs for gun violence reduction and youth violence prevention.

### Gun Violence Reduction Strategies

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strategy</th>
<th>Model Programs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Using POP Approaches in Persistent Hot Spots</td>
<td>Boston Smart Policing Initiative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Targeting Offenders in Persistent Hot Spots</td>
<td>Los Angeles Smart Policing Initiative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Health/Using Violence Interrupters</td>
<td>Cure Violence (Chicago)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Focused Deterrence</td>
<td>Kansas City Smart Policing Initiative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Indianapolis Violence Reduction Partnership</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Operation Ceasefire (Boston)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Youth Violence Prevention Programs

- **Aggressors, Victims and Bystanders: Thinking and Acting to Prevent Violence**
  A curriculum designed to prevent violence and inappropriate aggression among middle school youth, particularly those living in environments with high rates of exposure to violence.

- **Communities That Care (CTC)**
  A community-level intervention that mobilizes stakeholders to collaborate on selecting and implementing evidence-based prevention programs designed to prevent youth problem behaviors, such as substance abuse and delinquency.

- **Curriculum Based Support Group Program**
  A support group intervention designed to increase resiliency and reduce risk factors among children and youth ages 4-17 who are identified as being at an elevated risk for early substance use and future delinquency and violence.

- **Multisystemic Therapy® (MST®)**
  A juvenile crime prevention program to enhance parenting skills and provide intensive family therapy to troubled teens and delinquent teens that empower youth to cope with the family, peer, school and neighborhood problems they encounter—in ways that promote pro-social behavior while decreasing youth violence and other antisocial behaviors.

- **SANKOFA Youth Violence Prevention Program**
  A strengths-based, culturally-tailored preventive intervention for African-American adolescents ages 13-19. The goal is to equip youth with the knowledge, attitudes, skills, confidence and motivation to minimize their risk for involvement in violence, victimization due to violence and other negative behaviors.

Source: [Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration’s National Registry of Evidence-Based Programs and Practices, Blueprints for Healthy Youth Development](https://www.nationalregistryofprograms.org/)

Per the preface disclaimer, points of view or opinions in this document do not necessarily represent the official position or policies of the U.S. Department of Justice.
In line with the model practices, principles of procedural justice can build community trust and enhance police accountability

**Key Components of Procedural Justice***

- **Voice** – perception that the community member’s side of the story has been heard
- **Respect** – perception that police officers treat community members with dignity and respect
- **Neutrality** – perception that the decision-making process is unbiased and trustworthy
- **Understanding** – perception that community members comprehend the process and how decisions are made
- **Helpfulness** – perception that system players are interested in each person’s personal situation to the extent that the law allows

**Guiding Principles for Police-Citizen Contacts**

- Allow for citizen participation (give individuals the opportunity to state their case)
- Demonstrate fairness and neutrality
- Treat people with dignity and respect
- Demonstrate trustworthiness

- Every police-citizen contact is an opportunity to build public confidence in police, or alternatively, to cause tension and erode public trust.***
- Research shows that people who perceive they are treated fairly and respectfully by police report positive impressions of law enforcement even if the interaction results in a sanction.****
- Police agencies must also model principles of procedural justice in how officers are treated within the organization.

Using these model practices and examples, the Diagnostic Center prepared several recommendations for Durham

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Factor #1: A coordinated community response to gun violence</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Strategic Improvement</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The VCRR should expand its membership and role in gun violence prevention by developing a shared mission, creating a strategic plan for addressing gun violence, gaining community ownership of the problem and solutions and tracking progress and outcomes.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Model Practices</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>▶ Expand VCRR membership to include representatives of key sectors in the community (e.g., law enforcement, prosecution and courts, prevention and intervention, social services, parks and recreation, faith-based groups, schools, and Partners Against Crime [PACs]). Identify a strong community leader and champion for gun violence prevention.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>▶ Develop a community-based strategic plan for gun violence prevention with the help of a neutral facilitator. Use data to understand the problem, integrate evidence-based strategies and create performance measures. Coordinate with existing federal resources/programs in Durham (e.g., PSN and Byrne Criminal Justice Innovation [BCJI]). Seek community input and be transparent.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>▶ Consider using a public health framework that fosters an inclusive, multi-disciplinary approach to violence prevention. Ask organizations such as East Durham Children’s Initiative or Boys and Girls Club to consider implementing model violence prevention programs identified on slide 30.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>▶ Integrate pro-social strategies, such as My Brothers Keeper, that are culturally relevant and focus on leadership development, mentoring programs and job development for young black and Hispanic males.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Factor #2: Community confidence in DPD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Strategic Improvement</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DPD should develop a comprehensive communications strategy to guide the work of the public information officer, improve department transparency and build greater trust within the community.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Model Practices</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>▶ Focus the public information officer on improving communication with local media, building connections and trust with the community and improving department transparency.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>▶ Develop a comprehensive communications strategy that is proactive, multi-dimensional and tied directly to the department’s overall goals and objectives. Include outreach strategies to minority communities.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>▶ Focus on the effective use of traditional media (e.g., news media, speeches, formal reports, videos, radio talk shows) and emphasize the use of social media to directly engage and communicate with community members.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>▶ When serious incidents occur, communicate with citizens and the media swiftly, openly and neutrally, respecting areas where the law requires confidentiality.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Recommendations (continued)

### Factor #3: Long-term, proactive law enforcement strategies to address gun violence

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strategic Improvement</th>
<th>DPD should develop proactive, long-term violence reduction strategies integrating evidence-based practices and principles of community policing and collaboration.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| **Model Practices**   | - Based on data and a solid understanding of gun violence, develop proactive strategies using evidence-based (model) practices, measure the performance of the strategies and sustain successful strategies in the long-term. Solicit community input and involvement.  
- Enhance efforts to identify and track Durham’s most prolific violent offenders and link with proactive intervention strategies.  
- Reassess the Department’s commitment to the use of gun offender call-ins and the S.T.A.R.S. program. If call-ins continue, examine model practices and how they should be integrated into DPD’s efforts.  
- Improve the homicide clearance rate through more effective investigative processes and accountability structures. Although DPD’s homicide clearance rate is similar to the national average (66% vs 64% respectively), the persistence of gun violence indicates DPD could benefit from efforts to improve in this area.  
- Increase the focus on illegal guns in the community. Consider increasing the use of ATF’s gun tracing center for detecting patterns in the source and types of crime guns found in Durham and identifying potential gun traffickers. See [https://www.atf.gov/publications/factsheets/factsheet-national-tracing-center.html](https://www.atf.gov/publications/factsheets/factsheet-national-tracing-center.html). Another strategy is the St. Louis Consent to Search program (see [https://www.ncjrs.gov/pdffiles1/nij/191332.pdf](https://www.ncjrs.gov/pdffiles1/nij/191332.pdf)), a community-based program that provides assistance to parents with concerns about their children’s involvement in gun violence.  
- Reexamine the role of gangs in gun violence and determine if they should receive more attention.  
- Increase federal involvement by inviting the U.S. Attorney to play a larger role in strategy development. |
### Recommendations (continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Factor #4: Community-police relations</th>
<th>DPD should give priority to community engagement and collaboration and integrate principles of community policing at all levels of the department.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Strategic Improvement</strong></td>
<td>Make community policing a department priority and express it through management actions and officer performance evaluations. Provide support for patrol officers to engage the community and build relationships.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Build on Fair and Impartial Policing training by integrating community policing and procedural justice/police legitimacy into academy and in-service training. Link current training/projects on POP strategies to efforts in high-crime areas to address safety problems.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Model Practices</strong></td>
<td>Expand the Citizens Police Academy and police ride-along programs to educate community members on the role of police.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Enhance efforts to engage the faith-based community and encourage partnerships in violence prevention.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Continue to recruit a diverse police force by developing relationships with middle and high schools, community colleges and universities.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>In order to address bias policing issues, undergo additional analysis, training and technical assistance through the Diagnostic Center's National Initiative for Building Community Trust and Justice.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Factor #5: Barriers in the community</th>
<th>The City of Durham should focus resources on community infrastructure gaps, promote coordination and collaboration to enhance existing services and programs and encourage revitalization and redevelopment in the challenged areas.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Strategic Improvement</strong></td>
<td>Restore the infrastructure of community anchor points (e.g., parks, recreational facilities, community centers) and promote the use of these anchor points by local residents to assist in the development of pro-social opportunities and personal investment in the community.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Promote coordination and collaboration among city leaders, service providers and community organizations in the challenged areas; get organizations and agencies beyond their silos and working together; develop an inventory of available services and make it accessible to police officers and service providers in the field.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Model Practices</strong></td>
<td>Mobilize community agencies and organizations to focus on youth violence prevention by implementing culturally appropriate, evidence-based practices and programs for citizens in the challenged areas.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Link the Mayor’s Initiative and actions to reduce poverty and the Department of Housing and Urban Development's Choice Neighborhoods grant to revitalize public housing and the surrounding distressed neighborhoods to the public safety initiatives in the challenged areas.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Identify three or four clusters of city blocks located in the challenged areas and target them for revitalization and redevelopment.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
As Durham takes action to improve community-police relations and reduce gun-related crime, it should continue to monitor data.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Data Type</th>
<th>Baseline Indicators</th>
<th>Baseline Data Points</th>
<th>Indicators of Positive Change</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Complaints about Police-Citizen Interactions | ▶ Collect data on citizen complaints and processing to analyze and monitor trends  
▶ Collect data on history and location of citizen complaints to identify patterns and frequency  
▶ Monitor trends in use of force | ▶ Number of complaints by type, district and squad  
▶ Nature of event that generated complaints  
▶ Number and type of complaint outcomes, including discipline  
▶ Complete descriptive data on use of force (district, TOD, shift, officer, etc.) | ▶ Decrease in reported crime, measured in 911 calls for shots fired  
▶ Decreases in violent crime, specifically gun crime  
▶ Increases in recovered guns  
▶ Increases in clearance of gun crimes  
▶ Decrease in citizen complaints  
▶ Identification of:  
  - Specific patterns in complaint review  
  - High-risk officers |
| Complainants                      | ▶ Analyze and monitor trends in citizen complaints  
▶ Analyze and monitor trends in citizen supportive actions | ▶ Complainant information and nature of incident that generated complaint  
▶ Identify the 10 types of calls for service that generate the most complaints |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   |
| Crime Patterns and Trends         | ▶ Collect data on violent crime trends by place, nature and outcome  
▶ Examine case processing results from 911 call through conviction  
▶ Examine patterns of violent crime committed by individuals on probation, parole or warrant status | ▶ Geospatial analysis of violent crime and crime with guns  
▶ Arrest and clearance patterns  
▶ 911 calls for shots fired by time and space |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   |
| Department and Community Perceptions | ▶ Collect data on officer perceptions of crime and community  
▶ Collect data on citizen perceptions of crime, community and the police | ▶ Survey officers and supervisors regarding their perceptions of crime and community perceptions of the DPD  
▶ Survey community members (perhaps in targeted neighborhoods) regarding their perceptions of police and crime trends |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   |
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The Diagnostic Center proposes training and technical assistance to address violent crime and improve community-police relations

### Training and Technical Assistance Plan

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Coordination Response to Gun Violence</th>
<th>Community Confidence in DPD</th>
<th>Long-Term, Proactive Law Enforcement</th>
<th>Community-Police Relations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| **Overview:** Enhance the work of the Violent Crime Reduction Roundtable by providing technical assistance through a neutral facilitator who will work with VCRR to create a strategic plan for addressing gun violence and gaining community-wide ownership of the problem and solutions. | **Overview:** Build capacity to improve DPD’s communication with local media and increase transparency and community trust through technical assistance designed to define the work of DPD’s public information officer and integrate strategic communications into the department. | **Overview:** Build capacity for DPD to sustain proactive law enforcement strategies by leveraging peer-to-peer relationships with police departments that have successfully deployed model gun violence reduction programs and enhanced homicide investigative capacity. | **Overview:** Build capacity to expand community engagement and integrate community policing at all levels by leveraging peer-to-peer relationships with police departments that have:  
- Successfully integrated community policing, collaboration and procedural justice training in the department.  
- Created a successful and ongoing relationship with the faith-based community. |
TTA Topic #1: Coordinated Response to Gun Violence

The City of Durham can receive technical assistance to facilitate strategic planning for the creation of a coordinated community response to gun violence.

1 Technical Assistance to Improve Strategic Planning

Technical assistance will be provided by an expert in strategic planning, collective problem solving, criminal justice analysis and violent crime reduction strategies. Technical assistance will help build capacity within VCRR to engage in strategic planning and develop and implement a comprehensive plan for preventing gun violence. The plan will be based on a data-driven understanding of the problem, integrate community input, identify evidence-based practices that fit the local context and create performance measures to be tracked over time.

- **Potential Training Provider:** North Carolina Network for Safe Communities, University of North Carolina at Greensboro
- **Target Audience:** VCRR, expanded membership
DPD can receive technical assistance to develop and integrate a strategic communications plan.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>1</th>
<th>Technical Assistance on Strategic Communications</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Technical assistance will be provided by an expert in law enforcement communications. Technical assistance will build capacity within DPD to develop a strategic communications plan for improving communications with local media; enhancing community understanding of police work and crime prevention practices; promoting DPD’s positive outreach initiatives and successes in crime reduction; and improving department transparency and community trust.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- **Potential Training Provider:** TBD
- **Target Audience:** DPD (command staff and public information officer) and City communications staff
TTA Topic #3: Long-Term, Proactive Law Enforcement

DPD can receive technical assistance on long-term, proactive law enforcement strategies.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>1</th>
<th>Peer-to-Peer Relationships Focused on Long-Term, Proactive Law Enforcement Strategies</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The Diagnostic Center will identify law enforcement agencies that have implemented successful evidence-based strategies for addressing gun violence. The peer exchange will create an opportunity for DPD to learn from other police agencies’ successful and sustainable proactive approaches, including focused deterrence, hot spot policing in micro-locations, offender strategies for targeting the most prolific violent offenders and multi-strategy, community-based approaches. One potential agency includes the Boston Police Department, which has reduced violent crime by using POP approaches in micro-hot spots. Another potential peer agency is the Kansas City Police Department, which has reduced homicides by creating a collaborative partnership to oversee the use of social network analysis for identifying individuals involved in social deviance and employing focused deterrence strategies. A third potential peer agency is the Los Angeles Police Department, which reduced gun violence by focusing enforcement strategies on violent offenders in hot spot areas based on the creation of criteria and detailed information contained in chronic offender bulletins.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Potential Training Provider: Technical assistance will be provided by peer agencies that have implemented successful evidence-based strategies to reduce gun violence.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Target Audience: DPD, VCRR</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>2</th>
<th>Peer-to-Peer Relationships Focused on Homicide Investigative Capacity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The Diagnostic Center will identify law enforcement agencies that have implemented evidence-based strategies for improving homicide investigations and clearance rates. Potential peer agencies include: the Boston Police Department, which has studied and tested improved investigative processes for improving homicide clearance rates; the Richmond (VA) Police Department, which has implemented the Comprehensive Integrated Approach to Homicide Investigations; and the Chicago Police Department, which uses social network analysis to identify violent offenders and reduce and clear homicides.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Potential Training Provider: Technical assistance will be provided by peer agencies that have implemented successful evidence-based strategies to improve homicide clearance rates.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Target Audience: DPD, VCRR</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**TTA Topic #4: Community-Police relations**

DPD can receive technical assistance on community-police relations.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>1</th>
<th>Peer-to-Peer Relationship Focused on Community Policing and Collaboration</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The Diagnostic Center will identify potential law enforcement agencies that have implemented successful strategies in community policing and collaboration. The peer exchange will create an opportunity for DPD to learn from other police agencies’ successful efforts to build community relationships and collaborative approaches to violence reduction. Potential peer agencies could include the Boston Police Department, which has numerous examples of patrol officers engaging with the community and forming collaborative approaches to address neighborhood problems. Another potential agency is the Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department, which has sustained a successful police-community collaboration in one high crime neighborhood for a decade.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Potential Training Provider:</strong> Technical assistance will be provided by peer agencies that have implemented successful strategies in community policing and collaboration.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Target Audience:</strong> DPD, community organizations and leaders, city officials</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>2</th>
<th>Peer-to-Peer Relationship Focused on Engagement with the Faith-based Community</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The Diagnostic Center will identify potential law enforcement agencies that have implemented successful engagement practices and relationship building with the faith-based community. The peer exchange will create an opportunity for DPD to learn from other police agencies’ successful proactive approaches to engaging and working with the faith community. Potential peer agencies could include the Indio (CA) Police Department, which has formed a successful relationship and collaborative practices with faith leaders and faith-based groups in the community.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Potential Training Provider:</strong> Technical assistance will be provided by peer agencies that have implemented successful engagement practices and relationship building with the faith-based community.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Target Audience:</strong> DPD, faith organizations and leaders</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The Diagnostic Center will identify potential law enforcement agencies that have implemented successful training in procedural justice and police legitimacy. Procedural justice is defined as treating citizens fairly and with respect as human beings. Legitimacy is described as what the police receive in return: a public that views them as entitled to exercise authority in order to maintain order, manage conflicts and resolve problems in the community. The peer exchange will create an opportunity for DPD to learn from other police agencies’ efforts to improve the relationship between the police department and the community it serves through procedural justice training. A potential peer agency includes the Chicago Police Department which developed procedural justice curriculum, trained all its officers in the program and had the training evaluated. The evaluation results were presented in a 2014 article in the Journal of Experimental Criminology.*

- **Potential Training Provider:** Technical assistance will be provided by peer agencies that have implemented successful training in procedural justice.
- **Target Audience:** All DPD officers

**Next Steps and Contact Information**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Next Steps</strong></th>
<th><strong>Contact Information for the OJP Diagnostic Center</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| ▶ Develop an implementation plan based on the priorities Durham selects | **Your Community Leader:**  
Karmisha R. Wallace  
Senior Assistant to the City Manager  
City Manager's Office, City of Durham |
| ▶ Identify specific training and technical assistance to support an implementation plan | **Your Senior Diagnostic Specialists:**  
Hildy Saizow  
hsaizow@cox.net  
scott.decker@asu.edu |

**Main Telephone Number:**  
(855) OJP-0411 (or 855-657-0411)

**Main Email:**  
contact@OJPDiagnosticCenter.org

**Website:**  
www.OJPDiagnosticCenter.org